

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,828	03/10/2004	Maxwell D. Cummings	P51127 CI	7465
7590 08/10/2007 GLAXOSMITHKLINE			EXAMINER	
Corporate Intellectual Property - UW2220 P.O. Box 1539 King of Prussia, PA 19406-0939			HUYNH, CARLIC K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1617		
	_	·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary Examiner Carlic K. Huynt The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EX WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expiration. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication appears on the cov Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-fit	XPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, COMMUNICATION. Devever, may a reply be timely filed ire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Inication, even if timely filed, may reduce any innal. Formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
Carlic K. Huynt The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EX WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COLOR - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expirate to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	The sheet with the correspondence address XPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, COMMUNICATION. Dowever, may a reply be timely filed dire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Direction, even if timely filed, may reduce any direction. Timal. Formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EX WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COLOR - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expiration. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication apply and the communication apply apply and the communication apply and the communication apply apply and the communication apply apply and the communication apply apply and the communication apply and the communication apply and the communi	XPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, COMMUNICATION. Devever, may a reply be timely filed ire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Inication, even if timely filed, may reduce any innal. Formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EX WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CONTROL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expirately reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL.	XPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, COMMUNICATION. betweer, may a reply be timely filed size SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). inication, even if timely filed, may reduce any size of the second size of this communication. In the second size of this communication is second size of the second	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expirate to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this commun earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is non-fit	COMMUNICATION. bowever, may a reply be timely filed ire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). inication, even if timely filed, may reduce any inal. formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-fi	formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-fi	formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formula closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consident of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consident of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-44 are subject to restriction and/or election require		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) o Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be he Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the	eld in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 3 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been recovered as Copies of the priority documents have been recovered as Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified	ceived. ceived in Application No have been received in this National Stage 7.2(a)).	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/797,828

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-16, 28-34, and 37-44, drawn to a method of inhibiting cathepsin S, treating an autoimmune disease, treating a disease state caused by the formation or complications of atherosclerotic lesions, treating a disease which requires inhibition of a class II MHC-restricted immune response, treating a disease which requires inhibition of an asthmatic response, treating a disease which requires inhibition of an allergic response, treating a disease which requires inhibition of an immune response, or treating a disease which requires inhibition of elastase activity in atheroma comprising administering a compound of Formula (I), classified in class 514, subclass 183.
 - II. Claims 17-27 and 35-36, drawn to a compound of Formula (I), classified in class514, subclass 183.
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, (1) other compounds can be used with the method of inhibiting cathepsin S, treating an autoimmune disease, treating a disease state caused by the formation or complications of atherosclerotic lesions, treating a disease which requires inhibition of a class II

MHC-restricted immune response, treating a disease which requires inhibition of an asthmatic response, treating a disease which requires inhibition of an allergic response, treating a disease which requires inhibition of an immune response, or treating a disease which requires inhibition of elastase activity in atheroma, e.g. anti-cancer agents, anti-depressants, and high blood pressure medications; and (2) the compound of Formula (I) can be used in other methods of inhibition or treatment.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,828

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37)

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Page 4

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
 - (1) a single disclosed species of a compound of Formula (I); and
 - (2) a single disclosed species of a disease.

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

If either Group I or II is elected, the applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect (1) a single disclosed species of a compound of Formula (I) and (2) a single disclosed species of a disease for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-44 are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include

(i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be

Art Unit: 1617

considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

A telephone call to the attorney is not required where: 1) the restriction requirement is complex, 2) the application is being prosecuted pro se, or 3) the examiner knows from past experience that a telephone election will not be made (MPEP § 812.01). Therefore, since this restriction requirement is considered complex, a call to the attorney for telephone election was not made.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,828 Page 7

Art Unit: 1617

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1617

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlic K. Huynh whose telephone number is 571-272-5574. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ckh